



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,291	10/06/2004	Yukihiro Tanizoe	MTS-3529US	6477
23122	7590	08/28/2008		
RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980			DANIELS, ANTHONY J	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/510,291

Applicant(s)

TANIZOE ET AL.

Examiner

ANTHONY J. DANIELS

Art Unit

2622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANTHONY J. DANIELS.

(3) _____.

(2) Larry Ashery.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10-12 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: -.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, Larry Ashery, asked for a clarification of the record wherein the arguments regarding claims 12 and 17 are persuasive and those regarding claims 12 and 17 aren't. The examiner agrees with this clarification. The arguments regarding claims 1, 10 and 11 are persuasive. The arguments regarding claims 12 and 17 are not.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required